FACT SHEET

ADVISERS - HELPING CLIENTS MAKE MONEY FROM PRECISION AG



MAY 2020

Agronomic advisers can improve the economic value of precision agriculture for their clients

This fact sheet is a Profit First PA communication product derived from 'Assessing the economic value of precision agriculture (PA) tools for grain farming businesses in the Southern Region' funded by GRDC. Other project outputs include:

- a management guideline to aid growers and advisers decision making in adoption of PA
- a series of short videos, podcasts and fact sheets to further highlight the economics of PA when done well
- a review of existing information on the economics of PA.

These other resources are available at https://grdc.com.au/resources-and-publications/publications/2020/profit-for-precision-agriculture/

This fact sheet aims to assist agronomic advisers to help their growers profit from PA. For more detail please refer to the management guideline.

PA is most profitable when:

- it focusses on unlocking yield and profit potential ahead of technology adoption
- it focusses firstly on the profit gain opportunities most likely to achieve a return on the investment and these are often regionally specific (see tables 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9)
- it enables site specific best practice agronomy in the paddock.

The five critical questions that steer PA adoption towards profitability are listed in Table 1. The intimate insight advisers have of their clients people and property means they are well placed to assist growers to answer these questions without bias.

TABLE 1. FIVE PROFIT FIRST PA QUESTIONS

- 1. What are the profit gain opportunities for the farm business?
- 2. Does PA have a role in addressing those constraints/opportunities?
- 3. What is the cost and benefit of implementing the PA practice as determined using a partial budget approach?
- 4. Are there other benefits or barriers to consider?
- ${\bf 5}.$ Does the business have the capacity to usefully implement the technology?

Key points

Profitable adoption of PA is more likely when advisers have an active role

- Focus on firstly identifying the profit limiting agronomic issues with the grower before turning attention to the PA application itself
- The benefits of PA are highly situational, and care should be taken not to extrapolate results seen on one farm to another
- Provide a sounding board and agronomic rigour during the decision making process
- Collaborate with other providers as needed to get the best outcome for the grower

Profitable adoption of PA relies on identifying the right opportunity or constraint within the business. Previous work has identified that there are 4 primary profit drivers to focus on (GRDC RDP00013 2016).

TABLE 2. FOUR KEY PROFIT DRIV	VERS AND MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS
PROFIT OPPORTUNITY	KEY MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS
Optimise the farm gross margin	Enterprise choice
	Crop rotation
	Timeliness of operations
	Sound agronomy driving high water use efficiency and yield
	Variable cost control
	Maximising quality
Understand and manage fixed	Overhead cost control
costs	Labour efficiency
	Machinery investment matched to business size
	Actively manage finance costs
Manage risks proactively	Strategies to withstand seasonal or other business shocks
Highly capable people	Getting things done in a timely manner and to a high standard
	Clear and balanced decision making
	Systems and processes to support work flow

To find the ways to profit from PA in relation to these profit drivers, we have looked at three pathways:

TABLE 3. PA PROFIT PATHWAYS		
STRATEGIC	EXAMPLES	PROFIT IMPACT POTENTIAL
Unlocking yield potential by cost effectively managing site specific soil	Sodicity	This is usually where highest gain can be made.
constraints and/or enabling cost effective farming systems changes.	Salinity	Yield potential is unlocked more quickly
	Acidity	by using capital efficiently by targeting the areas that will have the highest response.
	Non-wetting sand	Amelioration costs can also be saved by
	Compaction	targeting to responsive areas.
	Soil density	
	Soil texture	
	Waterlogging	
TACTICAL		
Achieving water limited yield potential in a cost-effective manner while managing	Soil nutrition	Can be high impact individually or incrementally.
production risk.	Matching yield potential to plant available water	This relates directly to optimising your gross margin capturing the yield potential
	Crop monitoring	on offer as cost effectively as possible.
	Root disease management	
	Fallow management of weeds	
	In crop weed management	
FLEXIBLE		
Optimising quality and price and therefore increasing revenue.	Frost	High impact but less frequently.
,	Heat stress	This relates directly to proactive management of risk and making the most
	Harvest management	of every income opportunity.
	Patchy weed infestations	
	On farm trials	

The profit opportunities vary greatly between regions, districts and farms. The following tables highlight likelihood of opportunities for different areas in the southern region. They are a starting point to assess opportunities, rather than a definitive guide.

Low rainfall zone

Are you aware of which factors are most likely to influence grain yield in your region and on your farm?

TABLE 4. FACTORS THAT ARE LIKELY TO INFLUENCE GRAIN YIELD IN EACH LOW RAINFALL ZONE	RS THAT ARE L	IKELY TO II	NELUENC	CE GRAIN YI	ELD IN EACH	H LOW RAIN	FALL ZONE					
LOW RAINFALL ZONE	PLANT AVAILABLE WATER	SANDY ACID SOILS	ACID	SALINITY	SODICITY	WATER	COMPACTION	NUTRITION	FALLOW MANAGEMENT	HERBICIDE RESISTANCE	FROST	HEAT
Upper EP	>	^			\nearrow		^	^	^	^	>	>
Western EP	>	^			^		>	^	^	^		>
Upper North	^	>	~	>	>		^	^	^	^	>	>
SAVIC N Mallee	>	^		>	^		>	^	^	^	>	>
SAVic S Mallee	>	>		>	\wedge		>	^	^	^	>	>
Vic C Mallee	>	>		>	>		>	>	^	>	>	>

TABLE 5. THE LIKELY CONTRIBUTION OF VARIOUS PA APPLICATIONS TO PROFIT HIGHLY LIKELY, YELLOW SOMETIMES LIKELY AND ORANGE LESS LIKELY	KELY CONT	TRIBUTION PMETIMES	N OF VARIO	OUS PA AF ND ORANG	PLICATIO	NS TO PIKELY		ROSS THE	LOW RAINFAL	ACROSS THE LOW RAINFALL REGIONS BASED ON SUITABILITY AND AREA AFFECTED WITH GREEN BEING	SED ON S	UITABILITY AN	D AREA AFFE	CTED WITH	GREEN BE	ا ا ا
	0,	STRATEGIC	U					TAC	TACTICAL					FLEXIBLE		
LOW RAINFALL ZONE	Drainage	Zoned Claving/	Zoned ringing/	Zone m.	Zone management through variable rate application of:	nt through cation of:	h variable	Vehicle	Implement	Compaction	Inter- row or	Site specific weed	Decision support for	Mapping Protein	Protein	On
	mapping			Lime	Gypsum Seed N, P, K,	Seed	N, P, K, S	autosteer	(Protrakker)			on-row detection and sowing control	soil & crop monitoring	weeds	Mapping	trials
Upper EP																
Western EP																
Upper North																
SAVIC N Mallee																
SAVic S Mallee																
Vic C Mallee																

Medium rainfall zone

Are you aware of which factors are most likely to influence grain yield in your region and on your farm?

	TABLE 6. FACTORS THAT ARE LIKELY TO INFLUENCE GRAIN YIELD IN EACH M	ARE LIKELY TO) INFLUENCE	E GRAIN YI	ELD IN EACH	MEDIUM RA	EDIUM RAINFALL ZONE	ш					
Directions F	MEDIUM RAINFALL ZONE	PLANT AVAILABLE WATER	SANDY	ACID	SALINITY SODICITY	SODICITY	WATER	COMPACTION	NUTRITION	FALLOW	HERBICIDE RESISTANCE	FROST	HEAT
	Lower EP	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	
	Central YP	>	>					>	>	>	>		
	Lower YP	>	>		>			>	>	>	>		
	Northern YP - Mid North	>	>	>	>	>		>	>	>	>	>	>
	Wimmera-Bordertown	^	>		>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
	SA Upper South East	^	>	^	^	>		>	>	>	>	>	>
	Central Vic	^		\wedge	^	^	^	^	^	\wedge	^	^	\nearrow
	Nth Central Vic	>		>	>	>		>	>	>	>	>	>

TABLE 7. THE LIKELY CONTRIBUTION OF VARIOUS PA APPLICATIONS TO PROFIT ACROSS THE MEDIUM RAINFALL REGIONS BASED ON SUITABILITY AND AREA AFFECTED WITH GREEN BEING HIGHLY LIKELY, YELLOW SOMETIMES LIKELY AND ORANGE LESS LIKELY	NTRIBUTION ELLOW SON	N OF VAR	OUS PA A	APPLICAT D ORAN	TIONS TO F GE LESS LI	PROFIT A KELY	CROSS T	не меріо	M RAINFALL I	REGIONS BAS	ED ON SUIJ	ABILITY AND	AREA AFFEC	стер мітн	GREEN	
	S	STRATEGIC						TA	TACTICAL					FLEXIBLE		
MEDIUM RAINFALL ZONE	Drainage	Zoned	Zoned	Zone m	Zone management through variable rate application of:	nagement through rate application of:	variable	Vehicle	Implement	Compaction Inter-row	Inter-row	Site specific weed	Decision support for	Mapping	Protein	On
	mapping	delving	spading		Lime Gypsum Seed		Х, Р, К, S	autosteer	(Protrakker)	with CTF	sowing	detection and control	soil & crop monitoring	weeds	Mapping	
Lower EP																
Central YP																
Lower YP																
Northern YP - Mid North																
Wimmera-Bordertown																
SA Upper South East																
Central Vic																
Nth Central Vic																

High rainfall zone

Are you aware of which factors are most likely to influence grain yield in your region and on your farm?

	TABLE 8. FACTORS THAT ARE LIKELY TO INFLUENCE GRAIN YIELD IN EACH HIGH RAINFALL ZONE	ARE LIKELY TO	INFLUENC	CE GRAIN	N YIELD IN E	АСН НІСН В	RAINFALL ZO	NE					
I Directions	HIGH RAINFALL ZONE	PLANT AVAILABLE WATER	SANDY	ACID	SALINITY	SODICITY	WATER	COMPACTION	NOTRITION	FALLOW	HERBICIDE RESISTANCE	FROST	HEAT
Pty Ltd	SA Lower South East + Kangaroo Island	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>		
d	Southern Vic	^		>	>	^	>	~	>	^	>	>	>
	North East Vic slopes	^		>	^	^	^	~	^	\wedge	>	>	>
	Tas Grain	>		>		>	>	>	>	>	>	>	

TABLE 9. THE LIKELY CONTRIBUTION OF VARIOUS PA APPLICATIONS TO PROFIT ACROSS THE HIGH RAINFALL REGIONS BASED ON SUITABILITY AND AREA AFFECTED WITH GREEN BEING HIGHLY LIKELY, YELLOW SOMETIMES LIKELY AND ORANGE LESS LIKELY	ELY CONTRI	BUTION O ETIMES LI	F VARIOU KELY AND	JS PA APF ORANGE	PLICATION E LESS LIK	NS TO PR	OFIT ACE	ROSS THE H	IGH RAINFAL	L REGIONS BAS	SED ON SUI	FABILITY AND	AREA AFFECTI	ED WITH G	REEN BEIN	ភ្
	S	STRATEGIC						71	TACTICAL					FLEXIBLE		
HIGH RAINFALL ZONE	Drainage		Zoned Zoned		Zone management through variable rate application of:	nagement through rate application of:	h variable f:	Vehicle	Implement	Compaction Inter-row	Inter-row	Site specific weed	Decision support for	Mapping Protein		On
	mapping	delving	delving spading Lime Gypsum	Lime	Gypsum		Seed N, P, K, S	autosteer	<u> </u>		sowing	detection and control	soil & crop monitoring	weeds	weeds Mapping	trials
SA Lower South																
East + Kangaroo Island																
Southern Vic																
North East Vic slopes																
Tas Grain																

1. What are the profit gain opportunities for the farm business?

Focusing on the highest impact area for the business will invariably bring the best results.

What are the yield constraints on the farm? Is the enterprise choice and rotation right to maximise profit with sound agronomy? Are variable costs too high? Is there an opportunity to ameliorate a soil issue? Is timing an issue?

Roles for advisers:

- · Get to the root cause of each issue or opportunity, and make sure that the right issue or opportunity is identified.
- Work with the grower to put realistic assumptions around the potential upside (or downside) from addressing the issue or opportunity.
- · Provide a sounding board to help growers prioritise issues or opportunities and work towards those with the biggest impact.
- Ensure that any options or solutions proposed are agronomically sound and do not have unforeseen impacts. For example is there a minimum or maximum rate of fertilizer that should be applied regardless of soil type?

2. Does PA have a role in addressing those constraints/opportunities?

Once the opportunity or issue is clearly identified, does PA have a role in addressing it? Is an optical spot sprayer required to improve summer weed control, or should the spraying program just start earlier? Is there enough soil type variability to warrant using different fertiliser rates? Can we reliably identify the different zones we want to vary? Can we make decisions in a timely manner to get things done, or will it complicate things and slow us down?

Roles for advisers:

- · Assist with ground truthing an issue and exploring why it is occurring.
- Help growers to identify and quantify the variability that exists in paddocks, and leverage from their knowledge of soil types and historic performance on the farm.
- · Suggest some approaches to trial or test the response to different strategies, before moving to broader implementation.
- · Provide a perspective on what has worked for other growers in dealing with this issue or opportunity.

3. What is the cost and benefit of implementing the PA practice as determined using a partial budget approach?

The financial benefits from implementing PA are highly situational and it is imperative that each grower does their own numbers! Results can vary widely between farms due to differences in equipment, skills, and the farming system and rotation in place. Where is the payback going to come from? Is this strategy going to increase yield? Will it reduce costs? How often will this occur? What new costs will be incurred? Is the payback in a single year or does it continue over time?

Roles for advisers:

- Validating the likely agronomic responses possible from the practice.
- Eliminating errors and testing the assumptions on both the benefits and the costs.
- Discussing the likely response that would occur with different seasonal conditions, to provide a range of outcomes for the grower
 to work through. For example, in a dry year there might be no response to variable rate nitrogen, in a wet year it may increase
 yield by 0.5t/ha.
- Work through the appropriate levels of data collection to inform a decision. For example, agronomically, is intense sampling required or will some targeted sampling suffice for this decision? This can have a major bearing on costs of adoption.

4. Are there other benefits or barriers to consider?

Whilst the economics are a vital part of adoption, other intangible benefits can sway a decision to adopt. Will this approach free up time for other tasks? Will addressing the soil pH improve weed control at the same time? Will this data that I am collecting serve another purpose in the future?

Roles for advisers:

- · Recognise that decision making is not always based on economics and that there may be other considerations in play.
- · Help the grower get the maximum benefit from any investment in data collection or sampling.

5. Does the business have the capacity to usefully implement the technology?

Making a good decision to implement PA will not generate a profit if poorly implemented. Do we have the skills in the business to make this work? If not, who can we connect with to help us? Are we all on the same page about what we are trying to achieve? Do we have a clear plan to execute this? Are our timeframes and expectations realistic? What is the contingency plan if things go wrong?

Roles for advisers:

- · Work collaboratively with other providers such as PA consultants or equipment specialists to get the best outcome for the grower. Cloud based systems are making this easier to share information between different providers as needed.
- · Discuss with the grower some contingency plans so that the timeliness is not affected if there are problems with technology. A blanket rate may still be better than being late!
- Assist with the review process so that lessons are learnt, and improvement is noted for the future.
- Revisit agronomic assumptions each year and ensure they are still appropriate given changes in input and commodity prices, and farming systems over time.
- · Show an active interest in what the grower is up to and how they are progressing with the new practice.
- If possible, access a copy of what has happened in the paddock (eg zone map or application maps) so that you can take this into account when assessing the paddock performance or issues in the future.
- Offer to help design on farm trials including assessment of trials strips and providing rigour to data collection, results analysis and interpretation.

Summary

Agronomic advisers are a critical decision support tool for many grain growers and offer great insight into potential production and profit opportunities for each of their clients.

This insight can greatly enhance the economic impact of PA if advisers take an active role in assisting the decision making processes associated with PA adoption.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Jessica Koch (Breezy Hill Precision Ag Services) and Sam Trengove (Trengove Consulting) for review of this fact sheet.

References

GRDC RDP00013 (2015), Project report for The integration of technical data and profit drivers for more informed decisions, authored by Rural Directions Pty Ltd, Macquarie Franklin, Meridian Agriculture, Agripath, and Corporate Agriculture Australia

GRDC Project Code 9176123

More information

Patrick Redden & Royce Pitchford Rural Directions Pty Ltd (08) 8841 4500

Kate Burke ThinkAgri Pty Ltd 0418 188 565